

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
Facsimile (702) 949-8321
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE
COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,
LLC,¹

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST
DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED
FUND, LLC,²

USA SECURITIES, LLC,³
Debtors.

Affects:

- ☐ All Debtors
- ☒ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
- ☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
- ☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- ☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- ☐ USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR¹
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR²
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR³

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No.
BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF
USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF
CLAIM BASED ENTIRELY UPON
INVESTMENT IN THE
BROOKMERE MATTESON LOAN**

Date of Hearing: August 30, 2011
Time of Hearing: 10:30 a.m.
Estimated Time for hearing: 10 min.

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A**. These claims were filed by

¹ This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

² This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

³ This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.

investors (“Direct Lenders”) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) based upon an investment in a loan to Brookmere, LLC and Lord & Essex Matteson, LLC (together, the “Borrowers”). This loan was sometimes referred to as the “Brookmere Matteson Loan” and that is how the USACM Trust will refer to it here. This Objection is supported by the Court’s record and the Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Brookmere Matteson Loan. (the “Berman Decl.” and “Burr Decl.”).

THIS OBJECTION DOES NOT RELATE TO AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE DIRECT LENDERS’ RIGHTS TO REPAYMENT ON THE BROOKMERE MATTESON LOAN, SHARE IN ANY PROCEEDS GENERATED FROM THE SALE OF THE REAL PROPERTY SECURING THE BROOKMERE MATTESON LOAN, OR SHARE IN THE RECOVERY OF ANY FUNDS FROM THE GUARANTOR FOR THE LOAN.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. BACKGROUND FACTS

a. The USACM Bankruptcy

On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor’s post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that

1 USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as “Direct Lenders”
2 in USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

3 On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’ Third
4 Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No.
5 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this
6 Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass
7 Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC (“Compass”), including the
8 Brookmere Matteson Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

9 The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12,
10 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the
11 successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of
12 Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

13 Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District
14 of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC,*
15 *et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that “Silar Advisors, LP
16 (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan
17 service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those
18 Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase
19 Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of
20 Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

21 Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of
22 September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC (“Asset
23 Resolution”) and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset
24 Resolution as a ‘single purpose entity,’ conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase
25 Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of
26 Compass, including the Purchased Assets.” (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is

now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ, along with certain affiliates.⁴ William A. Leonard, Jr. was appointed trustee in the Asset Resolution case.

Pursuant to a November 6, 2007 order from the Honorable Robert Jones in the District of Nevada case, the Brookmere Matteson Loan was abandoned by Compass. Subsequently, pursuant to a June 16, 2008 order, Tom Grimmatt was appointed as the receiver for Brookmere Lenders, LLC, a limited liability company formed by the Brookmere Loan Direct Lenders. Furthermore, by an order entered on May 11, 2009, Mr. Grimmatt was appointed as the “full equity Receiver for the Brookmere Loan interests.” Counsel for the Trustee has been in contact with counsel for Mr. Grimmatt regarding the Brookmere Matteson Loan.

The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district court litigation and the ARC bankruptcy case, but has received limited information concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. The Trust has also been in contract with Cross FLC about certain loans that it is servicing, but Cross FLC is not servicing the Brookmere Matteson Loan.⁵ The following is the extent of the USACM Trust’s information on the current servicing and status of the Brookmere Matteson Loan.

⁴ 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC, Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP Brookmere Matteson SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

⁵ By Order entered on July 19, 2010 by the Hon. Robert C. Jones in United States Bankruptcy Court (Case No. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ), the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to Cross, FLS. The Brookmere Matteson Loan however, was not among the loans whose servicing rights were transferred to Cross, FLS.

b. The Brookmere Matteson Loan

USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding for an acquisition and development loan to borrowers identified as “Brookmere Matteson, LLC” and “Lord & Essex Matteson, LLC.” A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The total loan amount proposed was \$27,050,000. The Offer Sheet described the investment as a “First Trust Deed Investment” and noted that the investment would be secured by a first deed of trust on 156 acres to be developed into: finished single-family lots, single-family lots with grading and off-site improvements completed, and acreage devoted to commercial/retail property to be completed with infrastructure. The project was located at the Highway 57 and Vollmer Road in Matteson, Illinois. The loan was intended to “retire existing debt on the property and provide for the backbone infrastructure of the property, as well as the completion of 334 residential lots.” (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.)

On October 29, 2003, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a “Promissory Note” (the “Note”) and a Construction Loan Agreement. (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.) The Note and Loan Agreement provided for a loan of \$27,050,000. *Id.* The Loan Agreement was subsequently modified to allow Borrower to place a junior mortgage on the property. *Id.* The Note was secured by (a) two “Assignment of Rents and Leases,” one from Brookmere, LLC and one from Essex Matteson, LLC, each in favor of the Direct Lenders, granting the Direct Lenders a secured interest in the Borrowers’ interest in any lease, or sublease, (b) two “Mortgage, Assignment of Rents and Security Agreements,” one from Brookmere, LLC and one from Essex Matteson, LLC, each in favor of Direct Lenders, which were each recorded in the official records of Cook County, Illinois on November 7, 2003, and (c) Security Agreements in favor the Direct Lenders providing a first priority security interest in all of the Borrowers’ collective interest in their personal property, accounts, and other personal

assets. *Id.* The Note was also supported by Guaranties signed by Christopher Smith and John Popp, as well as a Completion Guaranty signed by Christopher Smith and John Popp, who, pursuant to the Offer Sheet, “indicate a combined net worth in excess of \$20 million.” Christopher Smith and John Popp appear to have provided USACM personal financial statements in support of their indicated combined net worth. (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.)

The USACM “Loan Summary” dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows that Borrower was “Non-performing” on the Note as of July 31, 2006. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.) During this bankruptcy case through the transfer of servicing to Compass, USACM treated the Direct Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower in accordance with this Court’s orders and the confirmed Plan.

Counsel for the Trustee had a brief conversation with counsel for Tom Grimmett regarding the status of the Brookmere Matteson Loan. According to the receiver’s counsel, the Direct Lenders continue to have an interest in the collateral, although the full extent of that interest is currently subject to an Illinois appeal of a trial verdict regarding the validity of certain releases granted after a refinancing of the Brookmere Matteson Loan by Botaba Realty Company, Ltd. *See Botaba Realty Company, Ltd. v. Harris Bank Joliet, N.A., as Trustee under a Trust Agreement dated September 17, 2002 and known as Trust Number HTJ8067, et al.*, Appellate Court of Illinois, Case Nos. 11-1379 and 11-1380. Moreover, the Direct Lenders received a partial pay down of the amounts owed to them upon that refinancing.

c. The Brookmere Matteson Claims

Exhibit A, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be based upon an investment in the Brookmere Matteson Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant’s address, the total amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an

investment in the Brookmere Matteson Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant. (Burr Declaration ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the “Brookmere Matteson Claims.” As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page of the proof of claim for each of the claims referenced in **Exhibit A** are attached as **Exhibit C**.

II. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff’d*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

1 **IV. THE OBJECTION**

2 The Brookmere Matteson Loan appears to have been a legitimate, arms-length
3 transaction. In addition, the Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing in a
4 promissory note secured by a lien on real property.

5 USACM is not liable for the Borrower's default or any decrease in the value of the
6 collateral.

7 The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM does not appear to have
8 breached the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Brookmere
9 Matteson Loan. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the
10 Brookmere Matteson Loan or take any other action.

11 To the extent the Court might disagree with the Trust's objection, the Direct
12 Lenders' claims should be reduced by the amount of any recoveries received by those
13 Direct Lenders as a result of the refinancing referenced above in Section I.B, subject to
14 proof being provided to the Trustee and/or the Court.

15 This objection will not affect the Direct Lenders' right to be repaid on the
16 Brookmere Matteson Loan by the Borrower, to recover from the sale of any collateral that
17 secured the Brookmere Matteson Loan, or on the guarantees supporting the Brookmere
18 Matteson Loan.

19 **V. CONCLUSION**

20 The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims against
21 USACM listed in Exhibit A in full because those claims are based entirely upon an
22 investment in the Brookmere Matteson Loan. This objection concerns only claims based
23 upon an investment in the Brookmere Matteson Loan and not any other claims of any of
24 the Direct Lenders. The USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just
25 and proper.
26

1 Dated: July 19, 2011.

2 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

3 By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)
4 Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593
5 John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)
6 Marvin Ruth, NV 10979
7 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
9 E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com
10 *Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

11 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent
12 portion of Exhibits mailed by first
13 class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on
14 July 19, 2011 to all parties listed on
15 Exhibit A attached.

16 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

17 s/ Marilyn Schoenike
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26